## **APPENDIX A**

## SOIL VAPOR DATA VALIDATION REPORT SIXTEENTH PERIODIC SAMPLING EVENT



#### LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc. March 17, 2004

22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270 Diamond Bar, CA 91765 ATTN: Mr. Tony Ford

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #01, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Ford,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on March 5, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

#### **LDC Project # 11630:**

SDG # Fraction

GF020204-L6 Volatiles

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely

Erlinda T. Rauto

**Operations Manager/Senior Chemist** 

	<del></del>		T	_	1	=	7	T	Ŧ	T	1	ī									T	THE				F	1	<u> </u>				0
		S	$\dashv$	4	+	-	+	+	-	┡	├-	-	$\vdash$	-						_	Н				<u> </u>	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-	-		$\vdash$	_
		≯	4	+		+	$\bot$	+	-	<del> </del> -	<del> </del>	-	-		-	_		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Щ		Н		<u> </u>	$\vdash$	-	-	-	Н	$\vdash$	
		S	_	_ _	_	$\perp$	$\bot$	$\bot$	$\perp$	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	_						L.	Ш	i			<u> </u>	_		_	_	$\vdash$	$\square$	0
		≩	_		$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	<u> </u>	L	_	_							Щ			Щ			<u> </u>	_	L		Щ		0
		S		$\perp$									_													L					$\sqcup$	0
		≩									١.															_	_		_			0
		S																														0
		≩							Τ																							0
Ξĺ		တ				T	T	T	T																							0
ੜੂ ∣		≥		7	$\top$	┪		T		Γ			Г																			0
႙ၟ႞		S		$\top$	$\top$	+	1	$\top$	<b> </b>	Τ																						0
⋦│		3	7	_		+	+	$\dagger$		T	┢																					0
ġ		S	ヿ	+	+	+		$\dagger$	+		$\vdash$	T														Г				_		0
E		3	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	╁	+	+	+	$\vdash$	T	T															<u> </u>						0
ap		s S	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+-	+	╁	T	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	$\vdash$						Н	Н				-	$\vdash$				П	-	0
_		×	-	+	+	╁	+	+	+	┢	┢	<del>                                     </del>		-	-	_			_						-	┢─	$\vdash$	-				0
.≅			$\dashv$	-	+	+	╬	+	╁	┼	├		-	_	_						Н	-						-	-	Н		0
ੜੋਂ∣		S M	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	-	+	╬	+	╫	╁	-	$\vdash$	-		$\vdash$	-	_								-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$					0
ੁ		-	ᅱ	-+	+	+	+	╁	╁	╁╴	$\vdash$	┝		-	-	-		H				_	-			$\vdash$	-	-				-
¥		s /	-	+	+	+	╁	╁	╁	╁	┝	$\vdash$	-		$\vdash$		_		-		Н	_	-			$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$	-		H	-
<u>حّ</u>		> ∴	-	-	+	+	+	┿	╁	╁╴	┝	├		$\vdash$	H	<u> </u>	_		_		$\vdash$		Н	-	$\vdash$	┢		┢╌	-		$\vdash$	_
8		s /		+	+	-	+	╁	╁	╁	┝	┝	-	-	-	-			H		-					$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-				0
<b>Ž</b>		,≼	$\dashv$		-	+	+	╁╌	╁	┼	-	╁┈	<u> </u>	-	-	-	_	-								├	_		_		Н	0
a		s /	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	╁╴	H	-	┢	┢	├	-	_					Н		-			<del>                                     </del>		-		Н	Н	0
<u> </u>		>	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	┿	+	-	$\vdash$	╁	H	-		-	_				-	Н	$\dashv$			<del>                                     </del>	-		-		H	0
ĕ		S	$\dashv$		+	+	+	+-	╀	╀	┢	├	-	-	$\vdash$	_			-				-			<del> </del>	-				Н	-
E		≯	-	+	+	+	+	+	╀	╁	$\vdash$	├	<u> </u>	$\vdash$	_	-		_				$\dashv$	$\dashv$	Н	_	$\vdash$			-			_
ቯ		S		$\dashv$	+	+		+	┿	┼	├	├	-	-	-	-				_					_	<del> </del>	-	-	-		Н	
ည္		≱	_	-	+	- -	+	+	+	-	<u> </u>	ļ	$\vdash$		-	-				_			_			┢	-	-	-		Н	_
<u> </u>		S	_	_	$\perp$	-	+	$\downarrow$	┿	╄-	-	L	<u> </u>	_	H	<u> </u>							_	_	-	┝	_	$\vdash$	┝		Н	0
٥		≷	_	_	$\perp$	4	4	_	<del> </del>	↓_	-	<u> </u>	_	_		_										<u> </u>	L					0
360		S	_	4	+	4	_	$\bot$	$\perp$	┞-	-	-			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			니		$\sqcup$				_				$\vdash \vdash$	0
ဗျ		≥	_	4	_ _	$\downarrow$		+	_	1_	_	$\vdash$	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	L_		Щ					$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-	<u> </u>	Н		0
63(	VOA (8260B)	S	9	$\perp$	1	$\perp$	_	1	_	↓_	_	igspace	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>	Щ	Щ	Ш	Ш	Ш		_	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Щ	$\vdash \vdash$	0
፲	(82,	4	위	_	_ _	$\perp$	$\perp$	1	_	_		_			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Щ			Ц					<u> </u>		$\sqcup$	2
LDC #11630 (Geofon, IncDiamond Bar / NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, DO#001)	DATE DUE		03/26/04																													
	DATE REC'D		03/05/04 0		+	+		$\dagger$																								
-	SDG# F	Air/Soil	GF020204-L6 03																													8
	DC	Matrix	٧	+	+	-	+	+	+	$\perp$	-	$\vdash$	_	-	_							-	$\dashv$				-	_				Total

## NASA JPL Data Validation Reports LDC# 11630

Volatiles

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

NASA JPL

**Collection Date:** 

February 2, 2004

LDC Report Date:

March 16, 2004

Matrix:

Soil Vapor

Parameters:

Volatiles

Validation Level:

EPA Level III

Laboratory:

H & P Mobile GeoChemistry

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): GF020204-L6

#### Sample Identification

SVW36-VPJ-001

SVW36-VPB-002

SVW36-VPC-003

SVW33-VPD-004

SVW33-VPE-005

SVW33-VPF-006

SVW32-VPH-007

SVW4-VPB-008

SVW4-VPD-009

SVW4-VPD-010Dup

#### Introduction

This data review covers 10 soil vapor samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8260B for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) .

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all volatile target compounds and system performance check compounds (SPCCs) were within method and validation criteria.

#### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within method and validation criteria.

#### V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

#### VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

#### X. Internal Standards

Internal standards data were not provided and therefore not reviewed.

#### XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

## XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SVW4-VPD-009 and SVW4-VPD-010Dup were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concent		
Compound	SVW4-VPD-009	SVW4-VPD-010Dup	RPD
Trichloroethene	14	12	15

### XVII. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG GF020204-L6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL

Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG GF020204-L6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

GEOFON PROJECT # 04-4428.10 JET PROPULSION LABORATORY 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA, CA

H&P Mobile GeoChemistry Project #GF020204-L6

INSTRUMENT: AGILENT 6850 GC / 5973 MASS SPECTROMETER

VOLATILE HALOGENATED AND AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS (EPA Method 8260) ANALYSES OF SOIL VAPOR

SOIL VAPOR DATA IN UG/L-VAPOR

		SVW37-VPJ	SVW36-	SVV/36-	SVW33-	SVW33-	Ó1 0 1 11 1				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BLANK	001	VPB-002	VPC-003	VPD-004	VPE-005	SVW33- VPF-006	SVW32-	SVW4	SVW4-	SVW4-VPD
DATE	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04				VPH-007	VPB-008	VPD-009	010 Dup
ANALYSIS TIME	7:07	7:56	8:23		02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04
SAMPLING DEPTH (feet)	_	185	35	8:50	<del>9</del> :17	9:44	10:11	10:38	11:05	11:32	11:59
VOLUME WITHDRAWN (cc)		800		55	85	105	120	155	20	56	56
VOLUME INJECTED	20	20	200	280	400	48C	540	680	140	284	344
DILUTION FACTOR	0.05	0.05	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	20
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	nd								0.00	0,00	0.05
CHLOROETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.C	nd	nd	nd		<del></del>
CHLOROFORM	nd 	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd
1,1-DICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,2-DICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-DICHLORO ETHENE	nď	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd
CIS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.6	nd	nd	nd	nd
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd.	nd	nd	nd
	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
DICHLOROMETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	пd	nd
TETRACHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	กต่	nd	nd
1,1,12-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,22-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1-TRICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-TRICHLORO ETHANE	nď	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
TRICHLORO ETHENE	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
/INYL CHLORIDE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21	14	
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE (FR11)		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		12
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE (FR12)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd
.1,2-TRICHLOROTRIFLUOROETHANE (FR113)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
BENZENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
CHLOROBENZENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd .	nd		nd	nd	nd
THYLBENZENE	nd	nd	กต์	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd nd	nd	nd	nd
OLUENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd
n&p-XYLENES	nd	nd	nd	nc	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
-XYLENE	nd	nd	กต์	nc	nd	nd		пd	nd	nd	nd
SURROGATES (75-125% RECOVERY)	nd	nd	nd	nc	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
DIBROMODIFLUOROMETHANE					4	114	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
,2-DICHLOROETHANE-d4	99%	100%	99%	99%	102%	100%	1000				
BROMOFLUORO BENZENE	95%	90%	95%	93%	94%	94%	100%	98%	99%	97%	100%
ID INDICATES NOT DETECTED AT A DETECTION	92%	96%	96%			98%	95% 93%	95%	94%	94%	96%

AT A DETECTION LIMIT OF 1.0 UG/L-VAPOR FOR EACH COMPOUND

ANALYSES PERFORMED ON-SITE IN CA DCHS MOBILE LABORATORY #1561

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: NARK BURKE

DATA REVIEWED BY: TAMARA DAVIS

LDC #: 11630A1	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 3/10/04
SDG #: GF020204-L6	Level III	Page:
Laboratory: H & P Mobile Geo	Chemistry	Reviewer: 9
•		2nd Reviewer: <i>[</i> /~
METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (E	PA SW 846 Method 8260B)	/

The second of the following validation areas Va

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/2/04
H.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	*	,
111.	Initial calibration	♦	
IV.	Continuing calibration	<b>*</b>	
V.	Blanks	<b>d</b>	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	∌	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	7	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	-4	LC5
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	Not provided & Det wiewed.
XI.	Target compound identification	N	\
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	♦	
XVI.	Field duplicates	m	D=9+10
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples;

AL	Spi Vabor		±			
1_	SVW36-VPJ-001	11	<b>Н</b> В	21	31	
2	SVW36-VPB-002	12		22	32	
3	SVW36-VPC-003	13		23	33	
4	SVW33-VPD-004	14	·	24	34	
5	SVW33-VPE-005	15		25	35	
6	SVW33-VPF-006	16		26	36	
7_	SVW32-VPH-007	17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27	37	
8	SVW4-VPB-008	18		28	38	
9 ,	SVW4-VPD-009	19		29	39	
10	SVW4-VPD-010Dup	20		30	40	

#### TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

A. Chioromethane*	S. Trichloroethene	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	CCC. tert-Butyfbenzene	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane
B. Bromomethane	T. Dibromochloromethane	L.L. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	DDD. 1,2.4-Trimethylbenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyttoluene
C. Vinyl choride**	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	WWW. Ethanol
D. Chloroethane	V. Benzene	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	XXX, Di-isopropyl ether
E. Methylene chloride	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	YYY. tert-Butanot
F. Acelone	X. Bromoform*	PP. Bremochloromethene	HHH. 1,4-Dichtorobenzene	ZZZ. tert-Butyl alcohol
G. Carbon disulfide	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	III. n-Butylbenzene	AAAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene**	Z 2-Hexanone	RR. Dibromomethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	BBBB, tert-Arnyl methyl ether
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane*	AA. Tetrachloroethene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	CCCC.1-Chlorohexane
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane*	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol
K. Chloroform**	CC. Toluene**	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachtoroethane	MMM. Naphthalene	EEEE. Acetonitrile
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene*	VV. Isopropylbenzene	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	FFFF. Acrolein
M. 2-Butanone	EE. Ethylberzene**	WW. Bromobenzene	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	FF. Styrene	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	PPP, trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane
O. Carbon tetrachloride	GG. Xylenes, total	YY. n-Propythenzene	QQQ. cs-1,2-Dichloroethene	IIII. Isobutyl alcohol
P. Bromodichloromethane	HH. Vinyl acatate	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	RRR. π,p-Xylenes	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane**	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene	KKKK. Propionitrile
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ш

<sup>\* =</sup> System performance check compounds (SPCC) for RRF; \*\* = Calibration check compounds (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 11630 A!	
SDG #: 4 0 20 204 - 6	

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page:_	lof
Reviewer:	9
2nd reviewer:	9

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Y N	N/A
Y N	N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentrat	ion ( /us/ + )	
Compound	9	10	RPD
	14	12	15
	Concentrat	ion ( )	
Compound			RPD
	Concentrat	ion (	
Compound			RPD
	Concentrat	lon (	
Compound			RPD
	I		

## **APPENDIX B**

- **B-1 RESULTS OF SOIL VAPOR ANALYSES**
- **B-2 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**
- B-3 DAILY OPENING, CLOSING, AND CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION REPORTS

## **APPENDIX B-1**

**RESULTS OF SOIL VAPOR ANALYSES** 



February 25, 2004

Mr. Scott Brehmer Geofon 22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270 Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Subject: Data Report - Jet Propulsion Laboratory - 4800 Oak Drive, Pasadena, CA - Geofon Project #04-4428.10

H&P Mobile GeoChemistry Project # GF020204-L6

Mr. Brehmer:

Please find enclosed a data report for the above referenced location. Soil vapor samples were analyzed on-site in DOHS certified mobile laboratory (Cert#1561).

#### **Project Summary**

Soil vapor from 10 points was analyzed for:

- Halogenated and volatile aromatic hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8260B

The samples were received on-site in appropriate containers with appropriate labels, seals, and chain-of-custody documentation.

#### **Project Narrative**

The results for all analyses and required QA/QC analyses are summarized in the enclosed tables. All calibrations, blanks, surrogates, and spike recoveries fulfill quality control criteria. No data qualifiers (flags) apply to any of the reported data.

H&P Mobile GeoChemistry appreciates the opportunity to provide analytical services to Geofon on this project. If you have any questions relating to this data or report, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely

Ms. Rebecca Johnson

GEOFON PROJECT # 04-4428.10 JET PROPULSION LABORATORY 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA, CA

H&P Mobile GeoChemistry Project #GF020204-L6
INSTRUMENT: AGILENT 6850 GC / 5973 MASS SPECTROMETER
VOLATILE HALOGENATED AND AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS (EPA Method 8260) ANALYSES OF SOIL VAPOR
SOIL VAPOR DATA IN UG/L-VAPOR

	AMBIENT			SVW36-	SVW33-	SVW33-	SVW33-	SVW32-	SVW4-	SVW4-	SVW4-VPD
DATE	BLANK	001	VPB-002	VPC-003	VPD-004	VPE-005	VPF-006	VPH-007	VPB-008	VPD-009	010 Dup
ANALYSIS TIME	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04
<del>-</del>	7:07	7:56	8:23	8:50	9:17	9:44	10:11	10:38	11:05		
SAMPLING DEPTH (feet)		185	35	55	85	105	120	155		11:32	11:59
VOLUME WITHDRAWN (cc)		800	200	280	400	480	540	680	20	56	56
VOLUME INJECTED	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		140	284	344
DILUTION FACTOR	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	20 0.05	20	20	20
						0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.0	nd	nd		<del></del>	
CHLOROETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd
CHLOROFORM	กd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-DICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nď	nd
1,2-DICHLORO ETHANE	nď	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-DICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd		nd 	nd	nď	nd	nd	nd	nd
CIS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd	nd 	nd	nd	2.6	nd.	nd	nd	nd
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď
DICHLOROMETHANE	nd	nď	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
TETRACHLORO ETHENE	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď	nd	nd
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	nd .	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď	nd
1,1,1-TRICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-TRICHLORO ETHANE	nd	nd	nd	nd	пd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
TRICHLORO ETHENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21		nd
VINYL CHLORIDE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		14	12
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE (FR11)	nd	nď .	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE (FR12)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd			nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-TRICHLOROTRIFLUOROETHANE (FR113)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.0	nd nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
BENZENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nď	nd
CHLOROBENZENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
ETHYLBENZENE	nd	nď	nd	nd	nd		nd 	nd	nd	nd	nd
TOLUENE	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
m&p-XYLENES	nď	nd .	nď			nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
o-XYLENE	nd	nd	nd	nd nd	nd nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
SURROGATES (75-125% RECOVERY)			- 114	- 114	Nu	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
DIBROMODIFLUOROMETHANE	99%	100%	99%	99%	102%	100%	1000/				
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-d4	95%	90%	95%	93%	94%	94%	100%	98%	99%	97%	100%
4 BROMOFLUORO BENZENE ND INDICATES NOT DETECTED AT A DETECTION	92%	96%	96%	0304	94%	94% 98%	95% 93%	95% 91%	94% 94%	94% 93%	96% 93%

ND INDICATES NOT DETECTED AT A DETECTION LIMIT OF 1.0 UG/L-VAPOR FOR EACH COMPOUND

ANALYSES PERFORMED ON-SITE IN CA DOHS MOBILE LABORATORY #1561

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: MARK BURKE

DATA REVIEWED BY: TAMARA DAVIS

## **APPENDIX B-2**

**CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD** 

# GEOFON

### **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

LABORATORY COPY

22632 GOLDEN SPRINGS DR., SUITE 270 DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765 • (909) 396-7662 • FAX (909) 396-1455 LAB COORDINATOR'S PHONE LABORATORY SERVICE ID LABORATORY CONTACT MAIL REPORT (COMPANY NAME) COSCAI GF020204-LGMARK BURKE SOOFON INC PROJECT LOCATION

ONATES SUE MONITORING LABORATORY PHONE LABORATORY FAX: 858-793-0404 PROJECT NUMBER PROJECT PHONE NUMBER PROJECT FAX LABORATORY ADDRESS 714-920-8438 437 N. CEDLOS AVE PASADISMA CA 9/108 PROJECT MANAGER'S 4800 OAKGROUT DR SOLAWA BEACH CA 92075 DIAMOND BAR CA 91765 909-396-7662 ASLAR FAHEEM 909-396-1455 Item Sample Identifier Comments Sm37-495-001 0730 NONE \*60ce SYRINGE 0750 0812 0835 0900 0922 0950 1020 SVW4- VPD-009 1045 DUPLICATE SAMPLES COLLECTED BY:\_ COURIER AND AIR BILL NUMBER COOLER TEMPERATURE UPON RECEIPT RELINQUISHED BY SAMPLE'S CONDITION UPON RECEIPT DATE 2-2-04 1230 Distribution: White - Laboratory (To be returned with Analytical Report); Goldenrod - Project File; Yellow - Project Data Manager

## **APPENDIX B-3**

DAILY OPENING, CLOSING, AND CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION REPORTS

## QA/QC CALIBRATION DATA

DATE: 02/02/04	SUPPLY SOUR	CE: CONTINUIN	G CALIBRATIO	N (OPENING)	SUBELCOLOT	W 00 770		
H&P Project #GF020204-L6	SUPPLY SOURCE: CONTINUING CALIBRATION (OPENING) SUPELCO LOT #LSS-773 SUPPLY SOURCE: QUALITY CONTROL (CLOSING) SUPELCO LOT #LSS-774							
LAB-6	INSTRUMENT:	AGILENT 6850 C	GC / 5973 MAS	S SPECTPOM	JU LU   #LSS-//4	ŀ		
COMPOUND	OPE	INSTRUMENT: AGILENT 6850 GC / 5973 MAS OPENING STANDARD			2ND SOURCE (1ug/L) CLOSING			
	MASS	RESULT	%DIFF	MASS	RESULT	%DIFF		
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	50	51.2	2.4%	50.0	49.1	1.8%		
CHLOROETHANE	50	56.5	13.0%	50.0	54.9	9.8%		
CHLOROFORM	50	54.0	8.0%	50.0	54.0	9.0% 8.0%		
1,1-DICHLORO ETHANE	50	56.7	13.4%	50.0	57.0			
1,2-DICHLORO ETHANE	50	54,2	8.4%	50.0	52.3	14.0%		
1,1-DICHLORO ETHENE	50	54.7	9.4%	50.0	54.5	4.6%		
CIS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	50	54.9	9.8%	50.0	53.4	9.0%		
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	50	56.1	12.2%	50.0	55.5	6.8%		
DICHLOROMETHANE	50	56.6	13.2%	50.0 50.0		11.0%		
TETRACHLORO ETHENE	50	53,9	7.8%		56.7	13.4%		
1,1,1,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	50	56.5	13.0%	50.0	52.3	4.6%		
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	50	55.2	10.4%	50.0	55.6	11.2%		
1,1,1-TRICHLORO ETHANE	50	51.5	3.0%	50.0	48.5	3.0%		
1,1,2-TRICHLORO ETHANE	50	53. <b>4</b>	1	50.0	49.8	0.4%		
TRICHLORO ETHENE	50	51.6	6.8%	50.0	52.3	4.6%		
VINYL CHLORIDE	50		3.2%	50.0	50.9	1.8%		
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE (FR11)	50	56.0	12.0%	50.0	54.1	8.2%		
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE (FR12)	50	58.1	16.2%	50.0	57.7	15.4%		
1,1,2-TRICHLOROTRIFLUOROETHANE (FR113)		51.9	3.8%	50.0	53.6	7.2%		
BENZENE	50	57.2	14.4%	50.0	55.2	10.4%		
CHLOROBENZENE	50	56.8	13.6%	50.0	56.4	12.8%		
ETHYLBENZENE	50	53.6	7.2%	50.0	54.0	8.0%		
TOLUENE	50	55.9	11.8%	50.0	54.9	9.8%		
m&p-XYLENES	50	53.8	7.6%	50.0	53.1	6.2%		
o-XYLENE	100	113	13.1%	100.0	112	12.0%		
ANALYSES PERFORMED ON SITE IN CA. DOLLO MO	50	55.3	10.6%	50.0	54.7	9.4%		

ANALYSES PERFORMED ON-SITE IN CA DOHS MOBILE LABORATORY #1561

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: MARK BURKE DATA REVIEWED BY: TAMARA DAVIS

## SOIL GAS INITIAL LCS STANDARD REPORT (CALIBRATION VERIFICATION)

LAB: Lab 6

SUPPLY SOURCE: SUPELCO LOT #LSS-828

INSTRUMENT: AGILENT 6850 GC / 5973 MASS SPECTROMETER

COMPOUND	CAL DATE	MASS	RT	RESULT	%DIFF
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	1/20/2004				
CHLOROETHANE	1/28/2004	50	8.5	51.3	2.6%
CHLOROFORM	1/28/2004	50	3.3	55.2	10.4%
1,1-DICHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50 50	8.1	54.3	8.6%
1,2-DICHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50	7.4	54.3	8.6%
1,1-DICHLORO ETHENE	1/28/2004	50	8.6	53.3	6.6%
CIS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	1/28/2004	50	6.4	52.8	5.6%
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO ETHENE	1/28/2004	50	7.9	54.7	9.4%
	1/28/2004	50	7.1	53.4	6.8%
DICHLOROMETHANE	1/28/2004	50	6.8	52.9	5.8%
TETRACHLORO ETHENE	1/28/2004	50	10.8	52.9	5.8%
1,1,1,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50	11.7	53.0	6.0%
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50	12.7	49.0	2.0%
1,1,1-TRICHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50	8.4	52.3	4.6%
1,1,2-TRICHLORO ETHANE	1/28/2004	50	10.6	51.9	3.8%
TRICHLORO ETHENE	1/28/2004	50	9.2	53.1	6.2%
VINYL CHLORIDE	1/28/2004	50	2.7	55.8	11.6%
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE (FR11)	1/28/2004	50	3.6	53.2	6.4%
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE (FR12)	1/28/2004	50	2.3	50.7	1.4%
1,1,2-TRICHLOROTRIFLUOROETHANE (FR113)	1/28/2004	50	6.3	53.5	7.0%
BENZENE	1/28/2004	50	8.7	E 4 0	0.00/
ETHYLBENZENE	1/28/2004	50 50	0.7 11.7	54.8	9.6%
TOLUENE	1/28/2004	50 50		55.4 54.0	10.8%
m&p-XYLENES	1/28/2004		10.3	54.0	8.0%
o-XYLENE	1/28/2004	100	11.7	109	9.0%
W C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1/20/2004	50	12.2	54.3	8.6%

ANALYSES PERFORMED IN CA DOHS MOBILE LABORATORY #1561

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: MARK BURKE DATA REVIEWED BY: TAMARA DAVIS